arXiv:hep-th/9403186v2 31 Mar 1994

hep-th/9403186
UCSBTH-94-14

Exact Four-Dimensional Dyonic Black Holes and Bertotti-Robinson Spacetimes in String Theory

David A. Lowe*
and
Andrew Strominger†
Department of Physics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9530

Conformal field theories corresponding to two–dimensional electrically charged black holes and to two–dimensional anti–de Sitter space with a covariantly constant electric field are simply constructed as $SL(2,\mathbb{R})/\mathbb{Z}$ WZW coset models. The two–dimensional electrically charged black holes are related by Kaluza–Klein reduction to the 2+1–dimensional rotating black hole of Bañados, Teitelboim and Zanelli, and our construction is correspondingly related to its realization as a WZW model. Four–dimensional spacetime solutions are obtained by tensoring these two–dimensional theories with $SU(2)/Z(m)$ coset models. These describe a family of dyonic black holes and the Bertotti–Robinson universe.

March, 1994

* lowe@tpau.physics.ucsb.edu

† andy@denali.physics.ucsb.edu

1. Introduction

Near extremality, four–dimensional, magnetically charged black holes in string theory develop a large cigar–shaped region adjacent to the horizon [1] . The solution in this region degenerates into a product of two two–dimensional factors, both of which can be described as exact conformal field theories and hence as exact solutions of string theory. One of these factors is Witten's two-dimensional black hole [2], and the other is a two–sphere with magnetic charge and is represented by a WZW orbifold [3,4]. At extremality, the two–dimensional black hole factor is replaced by the linear dilaton vacuum.

It is known from analysis of the low–energy effective field theory that the addition of electric charge dramatically changes the picture [1,5,6]. The solutions still degenerate into two factors near the horizon, but the two–dimensional black hole factor of [2] must be replaced by an asymptotically anti–de Sitter, electrically charged black hole similar to those discussed in [7]. In the extremal limit, the black hole factor becomes electrically charged anti-de Sitter space. Including the magnetically charged two–sphere, one then has the Bertotti–Robinson universe. This limiting behavior is identical to that of Reissner-Nordstrøm black holes.

In this paper, we give an exact construction of the electrically charged two–dimensional black hole, and corresponding family of four–dimensional dyonic black holes. This is rather easily accomplished by using the observation of Achúcarro and Ortiz [8] that the 2+1–dimensional rotating black hole of Bañados, Teitelboim and Zanelli [9] may be reinterpreted as an electrically charged two–dimensional black hole. We may then apply the exact construction of those black holes by Horowitz, Welch and Kaloper [10] to our case. Related constructions give two–dimensional electrically charged anti-de Sitter space and the Bertotti–Robinson universe.

2. Kaluza–Klein reduction from 3 to 2 dimensions

2.1. Two–dimensional charged black holes

Bañados et al. [9] have constructed a family of spinning black holes in $2+1$–dimensional Einstein gravity with negative cosmological constant proportional to l^2. For general values of the mass M and angular momentum J, the $2+1$–dimensional spacetime metric is

$$ds^2 = \left(M - \frac{r^2}{l^2}\right)dt^2 - Jdtd\varphi + r^2 d\varphi^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - M + \frac{J^2}{4r^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 \ , \qquad (2.1)$$

where φ is periodically identified with period 2π, and r and t may take any real value. There are two horizons located at $r = r_\pm$, where $r_\pm$ are related to M and J via

$$M = \frac{r_+^2 + r_-^2}{l^2}, \qquad J = \frac{2r_+r_-}{l} \ . \qquad (2.2)$$

The above identification breaks down in the extreme case $|J| = Ml$, when the two horizons coincide, and also in the massless case $M = J = 0$. In these situations, the periodic identification must be made along a null direction.

The 2+1 black hole (2.1) also corresponds to a solution of string theory with a non–trivial antisymmetric tensor [10]. The relevant part of the three–dimensional string effective action is

$$S_3 = \int d^3x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}e^{-2\hat{\phi}}\left[\frac{4}{l^2} + \hat{R} + 4(\nabla\hat{\phi})^2 - \frac{1}{12}\hat{H}^2\right] , \tag{2.3}$$

where $3l^2/(l^2-2)$ is the central charge of the conformal field theory. The antisymmetric tensor field strength for the black hole solutions is

$$\hat{H}_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\lambda}} = \frac{2}{l}\,\epsilon_{\hat{\mu}\hat{\nu}\hat{\lambda}} , \tag{2.4}$$

where $\hat{\mu}$ runs over r, t, φ.

Here we would like to reinterpret this three–dimensional solution as a two–dimensional charged black hole. This is achieved, following Achúcarro and Ortiz, by performing a Kaluza–Klein reduction of the metric (2.1) and antisymmetric tensor, regarding φ as the compact coordinate which will generate a $U(1)$ gauge field. The $2+1$–dimensional spacetime metric is written in terms of the two–dimensional metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, $U(1)$ gauge field A_μ, and scalar D

$$\hat{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} + e^{2D}A_\mu A_\nu & e^{2D}A_\mu \\ e^{2D}A_\nu & e^{2D} \end{pmatrix} . \tag{2.5}$$

Here μ, ν run over r, t and unhatted quantities refer to two–dimensional fields. The two–dimensional low–energy effective action is

$$S_2 = \int d^2x\sqrt{-g}e^{D-2\hat{\phi}}\left[\frac{4}{l^2} + R + 4(\nabla\hat{\phi})^2 - 4\nabla D\cdot\nabla\hat{\phi} - \frac{1}{4}e^{2D}F^2 - \frac{1}{4}e^{-2D}F'^2\right] , \tag{2.6}$$

where F (F') is the field strength constructed from A_μ ($\hat{B}_{\mu\varphi}$). The antisymmetric tensor $B_{\mu\nu}$ drops out of this expression and will henceforth be ignored.

It is possible to eliminate one of the gauge fields and the dilaton in a manner consistent with the equations of motion. This is achieved by setting

$$\hat{\phi} = 0, \qquad F'_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2e^D}{l}\epsilon_{\mu\nu} , \tag{2.7}$$

where $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ is the two–dimensional volume form. The truncated equations of motion then follow from

$$S_2 = \int d^2x\sqrt{-g}e^D\left[\frac{2}{l^2} + R - \frac{1}{4}e^{2D}F^2\right] . \tag{2.8}$$

The two–dimensional spacetime solutions we consider are all compatible with this truncation. (2.8) is the Jackiw–Teitelboim model with a gauge field [11].

The Kaluza–Klein reduction of the three–dimensional metric (2.1) and antisymmetric tensor field leads to

$$ds^2 = \left(M - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{J^2}{4r^2}\right)dt^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - M + \frac{J^2}{4r^2}\right)^{-1}dr^2 , \tag{2.9}$$

with $U(1)$ gauge field and scalar

$$A_t = -\frac{J}{2r^2} \ , \qquad D = \log r \ , \tag{2.10}$$

which is of course an extrema of (2.8).[1]

Evaluating the Ricci scalar for the metric (2.9) gives

$$R = -\frac{4r^4 + 3J^2 l^2}{2l^2 r^4} \ . \tag{2.11}$$

The two–dimensional black hole metric is asymptotically anti–de Sitter, with a curvature singularity at $r = 0$.

Horowitz, Welch and Kaloper [10] have shown that the solution (2.4), (2.1), corresponds to the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ WZW model with a discrete identification and is therefore exact. The $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ WZW action is invariant under the following symmetries

$$\delta g = \epsilon \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} g + g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\delta g = \epsilon \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} g - g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \ , \tag{2.13}$$

where g is an element of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$. Quotienting $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ by a discrete subgroup of a linear combination of these symmetries yields the general two parameter family of black holes (2.1). The level k_{SL} of the WZW model is fixed by

$$k_{SL} = l^2 \ . \tag{2.14}$$

2.2. 1+1–dimensional anti–de Sitter space with constant electric field

Anti–de Sitter space in 1+1 dimensions with a covariantly constant electric field (not considered in [10]) may be obtained by a similar construction which we will describe in some detail. The difference here will be that the periodic identification of 2+1–dimensional anti–de Sitter space (*ie* $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$) will be made along a direction that is always spacelike. In the previous section the identification was made along a Killing vector that was timelike in certain regions.

[1] Note that decompactification ($D \to \infty$) occurs at large r. However, in order to obtain an asymptotically flat four–dimensional black hole one must tensor with a two–sphere as discussed in subsequent sections and "sew on" an asymptotically flat four–dimensional region at some finite radius from the horizon. The growth of D will then be cut off at the sewing radius. This sewing procedure is readily understood in the low–energy effective field theory [6,12], but not in the conformal field theory, where it presumably corresponds to perturbation by a "bad" marginal operator.

It is convenient to use coordinates which differ from those of the previous subsection to describe the anti–de Sitter solution. Here we parametrize an element of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, with all elements non–zero, by [13]

$$g = d_1(-e)^{\epsilon_1} s^{\epsilon_2} p d_2 \ , \tag{2.15}$$

where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 = 0$ or 1,

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{t_L/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t_L/2} \end{pmatrix} \ , \qquad d_2 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{(-1)^{\epsilon_2} t_R/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-(-1)^{\epsilon_2} t_R/2} \end{pmatrix} \ , \\ -e &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

and p is either

$$\begin{aligned} (i) \qquad p &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(r/2) & \sinh(r/2) \\ \sinh(r/2) & \cosh(r/2) \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad -\infty < r < \infty \quad \text{or} \\ (ii) \qquad p &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(r/2) & \sin(r/2) \\ -\sin(r/2) & \cos(r/2) \end{pmatrix} \ , \quad -\pi/2 < r < \pi/2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

with $t_L, t_R \in \mathbb{R}$.

The WZW action is

$$S_{WZW} = \frac{k_{SL}}{4\pi} \int_\Sigma d^2 z \mathrm{Tr}(g^{-1}\partial g g^{-1} \bar\partial g) + k_{SL}\Gamma(g) \ , \tag{2.18}$$

where Γ is the Wess Zumino term

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_B \mathrm{Tr}(g^{-1}dg \wedge g^{-1}dg \wedge g^{-1}dg) \ . \tag{2.19}$$

Here Σ is a Riemann surface and B is a 3–manifold with boundary Σ. With p in region (i), (2.18) takes the form

$$S_{WZW} = \frac{k_{SL}}{4\pi} \int (\partial r \bar\partial r + \partial t_L \bar\partial t_L + \partial t_R \bar\partial t_R + 2\cosh r \ \bar\partial t_L \partial t_R) \ . \tag{2.20}$$

With p in region (ii) the answer is

$$S_{WZW} = \frac{k_{SL}}{4\pi} \int (-\partial r \bar\partial r + \partial t_L \bar\partial t_L + \partial t_R \bar\partial t_R + 2\cos r \ \bar\partial t_L \partial t_R) \ . \tag{2.21}$$

This may be viewed as a σ–model on a three–dimensional target space with metric $\hat g_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}$ and antisymmetric tensor $\hat B_{\hat\mu\hat\nu}$. One finds in region (i)

$$\hat g = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} k_{SL} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{SL} & k_{SL}\cosh r \\ 0 & k_{SL}\cosh r & k_{SL} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{2.22}$$

with the ordering of coordinates taken to be (r, t_L, t_R). The non-zero component of the antisymmetric tensor is

$$\hat{B}_{t_L t_R} = \frac{1}{4} k_{SL} \cosh r \ . \tag{2.23}$$

In region (ii) one finds

$$\hat{g} = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -k_{SL} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k_{SL} & k_{SL} \cos r \\ 0 & k_{SL} \cos r & k_{SL} \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{2.24}$$

with antisymmetric tensor

$$\hat{B}_{t_L t_R} = \frac{1}{4} k_{SL} \cos r \ . \tag{2.25}$$

The WZW action is invariant under translations in t_R which are generated by

$$\delta g = \epsilon g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \ . \tag{2.26}$$

We quotient $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ by a discrete subgroup of this symmetry: the integers $\mathbb{Z}$. t_R becomes a periodic coordinate with range $[0, 2\pi/\gamma)$, where γ is a real parameter.

We may now perform a Kaluza–Klein reduction of the metric and antisymmetric tensor, to obtain a two–dimensional spacetime with a $U(1)$ gauge field in the same way as before. The identifications of (2.5) give the fields in region (i)

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t_L} &= \gamma \cosh r \ , \qquad e^{2D} = \frac{k_{SL}}{4\gamma^2} \ , \\ g &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} k_{SL} & 0 \\ 0 & -k_{SL} \sinh^2 r \end{pmatrix} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

Similarly in region (ii) the fields are

$$\begin{aligned} A_{t_L} &= \gamma \cos r \ , \qquad e^{2D} = \frac{k_{SL}}{4\gamma^2} \ , \\ g &= \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} -k_{SL} & 0 \\ 0 & k_{SL} \sin^2 r \end{pmatrix} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

We now show this corresponds to a double cover of two–dimensional anti–de Sitter space, $(adS)_2$. Recall $(adS)_2$ may be defined as the hyperboloid

$$-x_0^2 - x_1^2 + x_2^2 = -1 \ , \tag{2.29}$$

embedded in flat three–dimensional space with metric

$$ds^2 = -dx_0^2 - dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 \ . \tag{2.30}$$

Now define coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \cosh r \\ x_1 &= \sinh r \sinh t_L \\ x_2 &= \sinh r \cosh t_L \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

which covers the region $x_0 > 1$ when $r, t_L \in \mathbb{R}$. The metric in these coordinates is

$$ds^2 = dr^2 - \sinh^2 r dt_L^2 \ , \tag{2.32}$$

as in region (i), up to a rescaling by $k_{SL}/4$. Remember we actually have 4 copies of region (i) corresponding to $\epsilon_1 = 0, 1$ and $\epsilon_2 = 0, 1$. Two of these copies are sufficient to cover the region $|x_0| > 1$.

To cover the region $0 < x_0 < 1$ define coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \cos r \\ x_1 &= \sin r \cosh t_L \\ x_2 &= \sin r \sinh t_L \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

where $t_L \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$. The metric is then

$$ds^2 = -dr^2 + \sin^2 r dt_L^2 \ , \tag{2.34}$$

agreeing with the metric above in region (ii). A second copy of region (ii) will cover $-1 < x_0 < 0$. We find then that the WZW model corresponds to a double cover of $(adS)_2$. It may also be verified that the gauge field strength is covariantly constant with respect to this metric.

3. Four–dimensional exact solutions

Having obtained the above two–dimensional spacetime solutions it is a simple matter to tensor these with the angular magnetic monopole CFT of [3,4] to obtain four–dimensional spacetime solutions with non–trivial electric and magnetic fields. The monopole CFT is obtained by quotienting a $SU(2)$ WZW model by the discrete subgroup $Z(m)$.

To parametrize the $SU(2)$ group manifold we introduce the real coordinates θ, ϕ and ζ and write the group element g' as

$$g' = e^{\frac{i}{2}\phi\sigma_3} e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta\sigma_1} e^{\frac{i}{2}\zeta\sigma_3} \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, $\phi \in [0, 2\pi]$ and $\zeta \in [0, 4\pi]$, and the σ_i are the Pauli matrices. The $SU(2)$ WZW action is then

$$S_{WZW} = \frac{k_{SU}}{4\pi} \int (\partial\theta\bar{\partial}\theta + \partial\phi\bar{\partial}\phi + \partial\zeta\bar{\partial}\zeta + 2\cos\theta \ \bar{\partial}\phi\partial\zeta) \ . \tag{3.2}$$

As in [4], this three–dimensional sigma model action may be compactified to 2 dimensions. The extra coordinate ζ, leads to a $U(1)$ gauge field corresponding to a magnetic field, while the two–dimensional space is just S^2. Quotienting the $SU(2)$ manifold by a discrete subgroup $Z(m)$, identifying $\zeta \sim \zeta + \frac{4\pi}{m}$, determines the charge of the magnetic monopole $Q = m$. Modular invariance requires $k_{SU} = nm$ where n and m are integers. (Alternatively one could use the conformal field theories of [3], which involve magnetic charge associated with a general $U(1)$ current algebra.)

3.1. Four–dimensional charged black holes

Tensoring this monopole CFT with the CFT described in section 2.1 leads to a solution describing the throat limit of a four–dimensional black hole with electric and magnetic charge. The four–dimensional spacetime is identified with the r, t, θ and ϕ coordinates. The two compactified dimensions correspond to the ζ and φ coordinates.

In the following A^{φ}_{μ} (A^{ζ}_{μ}) refers to the gauge field derived from the $\hat{g}_{\mu\varphi}$ ($\hat{g}_{\mu\zeta}$) components of the six–dimensional metric. Also, $A'_{\mu,\varphi}$ ($A'_{\mu,\zeta}$) refers to the gauge field constructed from the $\hat{B}_{\mu\varphi}$ ($\hat{B}_{\mu\zeta}$) components of the six–dimensional antisymmetric tensor. The scalar field D_{φ} (D_{ζ}) is derived from the $\hat{g}_{\varphi\varphi}$ ($\hat{g}_{\zeta\zeta}$) component of the metric.

Note that in four–dimensions we may no longer truncate the gauge field $A'_{\mu,\varphi}$ and dilaton as in (2.7). However the gauge field $A'_{\mu,\zeta}$ and the scalar D_{ζ} may be truncated with the identification

$$D_{\zeta} = \text{const}, \qquad A'_{\mu,\zeta} = \pm e^{2D_{\zeta}} A^{\zeta}_{\mu} \ , \tag{3.3}$$

in a manner consistent with the equations of motion. The non–trivial components of the spacetime fields are then

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \left(M - \frac{r^2}{l^2} - \frac{J^2}{4r^2}\right)dt^2 + \left(\frac{r^2}{l^2} - M + \frac{J^2}{4r^2}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + \frac{1}{4}k_{SU}d\theta^2 + \frac{1}{4}k_{SU}\sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \ , \\
A^{\varphi}_{t} &= -\frac{J}{2r^2}, \qquad A'_{t,\varphi} = -\frac{r^2}{l} \ , \qquad A^{\zeta}_{\phi} = m\cos\theta, \qquad \hat{\phi} = \text{const}, \\
e^{2D_{\varphi}} &= r^2, \qquad e^{2D_{\zeta}} = \frac{n}{4m}, \qquad H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since we wish to identify this with a six–dimensional Kaluza–Klein spacetime, the central charge should be 6, i.e.

$$c = \frac{3k_{SU}}{k_{SU}+2} + \frac{3k_{SL}}{k_{SL}-2} = 6 \ . \tag{3.5}$$

This leads to the condition $k_{SL} = k_{SU} + 4$. Taking k_{SL} and k_{SU} both positive leads to a spacetime with the correct $(-++++)$ signature.

3.2. Bertotti–Robinson Universe

If instead we tensor the monopole CFT with the anti–de Sitter solution of section 2.2, we will obtain, in the large k_{SU} and large k_{SL} limit, the four–dimensional Bertotti–

Robinson spacetime [14] with covariantly constant electric and magnetic fields. This solution describes the throat limit of the extremal dilaton black holes with electric and magnetic charge described in [6], and also the throat limit of the familiar extremal Reissner–Nordstrøm black hole.

Here four–dimensional spacetime corresponds to the coordinates r, t_L, θ and ϕ while the two compactified dimensions correspond to t_R and ζ. The additional gauge field $A'_{\mu,\zeta}$ may be truncated as in (3.3), and A'_{μ,t_R} may be truncated in an analogous way by setting

$$D_{t_R} = \text{const}, \qquad A'_{\mu,t_R} = \pm e^{2D_{t_R}} A^{t_R}_{\mu} \ . \tag{3.6}$$

The four–dimensional spacetime fields are then (for simplicity we write these only for region (i) of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$)

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{1}{4}k_{SL}dr^2 - \frac{1}{4}k_{SL}\sinh^2 r dt_L^2 + \frac{1}{4}k_{SU}d\theta^2 + \frac{1}{4}k_{SU}\sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \ , \\ A^{t_R}_{t_L} &= \gamma\cosh r, \qquad A^{\zeta}_{\phi} = m\cos\theta, \qquad \hat{\phi} = \text{const} \\ e^{2D_{t_R}} &= \frac{k_{SL}}{4\gamma^2}, \qquad e^{2D_\zeta} = \frac{n}{4m}, \qquad H_{\mu\nu\rho} = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The central charge should again be set to 6, which leads to $k_{SL} = k_{SU} + 4$.

As described in [6] this solution possesses $N = 2$ supersymmetry when embedded in $N = 4$, $d = 4$ supergravity which in turn is the low energy effective theory of the heterotic string with the $E_8 \times E_8$ gauge fields truncated. This corresponds to $(4, 0)$ worldsheet supersymmetry. In terms of the CFT, this is achieved by supersymmetrizing the WZW models by adding free fermions. The $(4, 0)$ supersymmetry algebra is then constructed as in [15,16].

Acknowledgements:

D. L. was supported in part by NSF grant PHY-91-16964, and A. S. was supported in part by DOE grant 91-ER40618.

References

[1] G.W. Gibbons, *Nucl. Phys.* **B207** (1982) 337; G.W. Gibbons and K. Maeda, *Nucl. Phys.* **B298** (1988) 741; D. Garfinkle, G. Horowitz, and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 3140.

[2] E. Witten,*Phys. Rev.* **D44** (1991) 314.

[3] S. Giddings, J. Polchinski and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 5784.

[4] W. Nelson, University of California at Santa Barbara preprint,UCSBTH-93-10.

[5] A. Shapere, S. Trivedi and F. Wilczek, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A6** (1991) 337.

[6] R. Kallosh, A. Linde, T. Ortin, A. Peet and A. Van Proeyen, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 5278; R. Kallosh and A. Peet, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 5223.

[7] C. Nappi, M.D. McGuigan and S. Yost, *Nucl. Phys.* **B375** (1992) 421.

[8] A. Achúcarro and M. Ortiz, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 3600.

[9] M. Bañados, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 1849 ; M. Bañados, M. Henneaux, C. Teitelboim, J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev.* **D 48** (1993) 1506.

[10] G. Horowitz and D. Welch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 328; N. Kaloper, *Phys. Rev.* **D48** (1993) 2598.

[11] R. Jackiw, in *Quantum Theory of Gravity*, ed. S. Christensen, Hilger, Bristolm 1984; C. Teitelboim, *ibid.*

[12] S. Giddings and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev.* **D46** (1992) 627.

[13] N. Vilenkin and A. Klimyk, "Representation of Lie Groups and Special Functions," Kluwer Academic Publishers (1991).

[14] B. Bertotti, *Phys. Rev.* **116** (1959) 1331; I. Robinson, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.* **7** (1959) 351.

[15] A. Sevrin, W. Troost, and A. Van Proeyen, *Phys. Lett.* **208B** (1988) 447.

[16] C.G. Callan, J.A. Harvey and A. Strominger, *Nucl. Phys.* **B359** (1991) 611.